

BIG BROTHER WATCH

Silkie Carlo
Big Brother Watch, Chinaworks
London, SW8 4SE

silkie.carlo@bigbrotherwatch.org.uk

23rd May 2020

To: John Holland-Kaye, CEO Heathrow Airport

cc: Elizabeth Denham, Information Commissioner
cc: Tony Porter, Surveillance Camera Commissioner
cc: House of Commons Transport Committee

By email only

Dear Mr Holland-Kaye,

I am writing on behalf of the civil liberties organisation Big Brother Watch to raise concerns about your use of thermal imaging cameras in the immigration hall at Heathrow Airport Terminal 2 and to request that you desist in their use. In this letter I also request further information.

I am concerned that thermal imaging cameras represent a worrying surveillance creep and provide little more than security theatre at a serious cost to rights. In particular, I am concerned that:

- the impact on individuals' privacy rights is profound, yet appears not to have been assessed,
- the impact on individuals' data protection rights is serious, yet an impact assessment is not publicly available,
- there is no available information as to the accuracy, necessity and proportionality of your use of thermal surveillance,
- the ability of the surveillance measure to meet the purported aim, and therefore its utility, is not supported by scientific evidence and could even be counter-productive,
- the consequential impact on fundamental rights and freedoms, including freedom of movement and employment rights, is serious yet unaccounted for, and
- there are no clear safeguards to prevent the normalisation and endurance of this extended surveillance apparatus.

Privacy and data protection

Thermal imaging is a particularly intrusive form of surveillance that incurs a novel and serious loss of personal and collective privacy. The use of these cameras engages individuals' right to privacy, protected by Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR). They reveal individuals' bodies, and generate sensitive data about them, in a manner that is not ordinarily publicly visible. They could expose features that individuals may seek to conceal (e.g. prosthetic limbs). Thermal cameras are a lurch towards pervasive monitoring of sensitive health data and represent a major extension of public surveillance apparatus.

Thermal surveillance engages individuals' rights under the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the Data Protection Act 2018 (DPA). In your press release of 21 May 2020, you stated "No personal data will be stored or shared through these trials".¹ This gives the impression that personal data is not involved. Whilst it may not presently be stored or shared, personal data is in fact generated, collected and analysed by your use of the cameras. In fact, thermal imaging involves the generation, collection and analysis of personal data that pertains to our bodily state and health. Cameras are directed at individuals with the aim of evaluating their physiological state. This is in an environment where passengers and staff are all identified, and facial biometrics are also in use. Since it is possible in this environment to identify, even subsequently, data subjects – and indeed the aim appears to be to connect thermal data to identified individuals – the data generated by thermal cameras is personal data as defined by Article 4(1) GDPR and s.3(2) DPA. Where infrared data is processed as health data, it is special category personal data as defined by Article 9 GDPR, which requires special safeguards. **Please could you explain the safeguards you have put in place?**

Furthermore, your use of thermal surveillance is profiling passengers. On your website's FAQ on thermal screening, you reveal:

"We will also log the following information: gender, age groups (child, teenager, adult, elderly), if the passenger was moving at pace or not, if the passenger was wearing a face mask or headwear as well as well as other generic information which may cause a person to have an elevated temperature such as 'passenger was carrying a cup of tea'".²

Please can you clarify what other "generic information" you are collecting, and provide an exhaustive list of the data you are collecting about individuals via this screening? The combination of this detailed data further increases the ability of a data controller to identify the individual – the data is plainly personal data as defined by Article 4(1) GDPR and s.3(2) DPA. The software you are using is profiling individuals, as defined by Article 4(4) GDPR, which is "any form of automated processing of personal data consisting of the use of personal data to evaluate certain personal aspects relating to a natural person", in particular to analyse or

1 Temperature screening trial launch – Heathrow Airport, 21 May 2020:

<https://www.heathrow.com/latest-news/temperature-screening-trial-launched-at-heathrow>

2 Coronavirus update, Temperature screening trials FAQ – Heathrow:

<https://www.heathrow.com/customer-support/faq/coronavirus-covid-19> (accessed 23 May 2020)

predict aspects concerning that person's "health, personal preferences" or "behaviour, location or movements". It is deeply concerning that this profiling is opaque and non-consensual – there appears to be no public signage or consent process around this intrusive surveillance.

Your insistence that no personal data is collected appears to have resulted in yet another denial of passengers' data protection rights – right of access to our data, protected by Article 15 GDPR. In your website's FAQ on thermal screening, you state "you will not be able to access images or your personal temperature information" because "we are not collecting any information that would identify an individual as part of this trial."³ However, you are collecting moving images of people, skin temperature readings, gender, age, clothing, movement information and more, which in combination is clearly personal data. Data subjects have a legal right to access this information. Just as individuals have a right to access CCTV data about them, they also have the legal right to access data collected via this thermal surveillance. That right cannot be denied. **Will you rectify this?**

The thermal data may, in future, be used to make decisions, which may be automated or based on this profiling and significantly affect the individual. Such automated decisions are prohibited by s.14 DPA and Article 22 GDPR in this circumstance, since thermal surveillance is not specifically authorised by law. Your website FAQ states that "a subsequent phase" of your use of thermal surveillance may involve "escalations to healthcare professionals".⁴ **Please can you provide full plans of these "subsequent phases" including the legal bases, a full decision tree of what the consequences may be if an individual is flagged as having an elevated temperature, and explain how and by whom those decisions may be made?**

At a minimum, a data protection impact assessment (DPIA) would need to be completed for any lawful use of thermal surveillance, as required by Article 35 GDPR. This is because the data processing is likely to result in a high risk to the rights and freedoms of data subjects; the processing involves a systematic evaluation of personal data and may qualify as profiling; the data may result in decisions that significantly affect individuals; the surveillance involves large-scale processing of sensitive (special category) data pertaining to individuals' health; and the surveillance constitutes systematic monitoring of a publicly accessible area on a large scale. A DPIA should describe the processing in detail, including the purpose, and an assessment of the necessity, proportionality, why less intrusive means are insufficient, risks to individuals' rights and freedoms, and the measures taken to address the risks and ensure individuals' legal rights are protected. I have been unable to find your DPIA. **Please could you inform me as to whether a DPIA has been completed, and if so, provide me with a copy?**

I am particularly concerned to learn that you are considering the rollout of "facial recognition thermal screening technology".⁵ Facial recognition surveillance is an intrusive form of

3 Coronavirus update, Temperature screening trials FAQ – Heathrow: <https://www.heathrow.com/customer-support/faq/coronavirus-covid-19> (accessed 23 May 2020)

4 Coronavirus update, Temperature screening trials FAQ – Heathrow: <https://www.heathrow.com/customer-support/faq/coronavirus-covid-19> (accessed 23 May 2020)

5 Heathrow COVID-19 detection trials – Heathrow Airport, 6 May 2020: <https://www.heathrow.com/latest-news/heathrow-covid19-detection-trials>

monitoring that is subject to legal challenge in the UK. Any non-consensual use of thermal surveillance in the UK is also likely to be subject to a legal challenge. The cumulative effect of these invasive monitoring technologies combined is particularly serious for individuals' fundamental rights and could pave the way for pervasive, identifiable health monitoring of citizens, yet there is no scientific evidence to suggest this benefits public health. The non-consensual gathering of sensitive biometric, physiological and "health" data by corporations is unacceptable and highly likely to be unlawful. I implore you to seek legal advice, as well as scientific and ethical guidance, before considering such dangerous plans any further.

Limited accuracy and utility

One important consideration as to the lawfulness of your use of thermal surveillance cameras is the accuracy of the data generated and collected. Data collected must be accurate (Article 5(1)(d) GDPR) but the accuracy of thermal imaging, particularly in the present application, is unclear.

This technology is being applied as a novel surveillance method that is unproven in a public health context. During a seasonal flu epidemic, a New Zealand study found airport thermal scanners were "not much better than chance" at identifying infected travellers.⁶ During the SARS epidemic, 763,082 passengers were screened by thermal scanners in Toronto and Vancouver airports, but failed to identify a single case.⁷

Since the onset of the present pandemic, some commercial vendors have professed that their thermal cameras screen for signs of fever – a known symptom of the novel coronavirus. Unscrupulous surveillance and security companies are selling thermal imaging devices in the UK with marketing slogans such as "fever detection". As a result, the independent camera review site IPVM describes a "booming multi-million coronavirus fever camera market."⁸

However, thermal cameras cannot detect fevers.

Thermal cameras detect radiated infrared energy from the surface of a solid object (in this case, skin surface of either the face or the entire body) and the software converts this to an estimated temperature reading. The temperature reading of each pixel is associated with a colour to provide a visual representation of this estimation. The accuracy of the reading depends on many environmental and detector factors, including whether the camera and subject are still or not, whether the face or entire body is scanned, positioning, the pixel resolution of the camera, spatial resolution, emissivity, temperature drift, and detector and system noise. One major thermography vendor, MoviTHERM, advises that thermal images of crowds do not provide accurate readings of elevated body temperatures.⁹ The camera review site IPVM described it as a "core issue" that there are "no independent tests of thermal

6 Priest PC, Duncan AR, Jennings LC, Baker MG (2011) Thermal Image Scanning for Influenza Border Screening: Results of an Airport Screening Study. PLoS ONE 6(1): e14490.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0014490>

7 St John, R. K., King, A., de Jong, D., Bodie-Collins, M., Squires, S. G., & Tam, T. W. (2005). Border screening for SARS. Emerging infectious diseases, 11(1), 6–10.
<https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1101.040835>

8 The Booming Multi-Billion Coronavirus Fever Camera Market - John Honovich and Charles Rollet, IPVM, 21 April 2020: <https://ipvm.com/reports/corona-cam-market>

camera performance/accuracy and no independent standards to measure against”, and in its own independent reviews found that companies’ claims to accuracy were “overinflated”.¹⁰ MoviTHERM considers that thermal cameras have a +/- 2 degrees Celsius error margin.¹¹ Whilst this may be negligible in many commercial applications of the technology, it seriously undermines efficacy when applied to attempt to detect the small temperature discrepancies that indicate an elevated core body temperature or fever. Thermal imaging cameras detect infrared energy from skin surface, which is not an accurate indicator of core body temperature.¹² Core body temperature must be measured (e.g. with an oral thermometer) to detect a fever. Nonetheless, for transparency, **I would appreciate if you would explain by reply the software used, its claimed accuracy in detecting core body temperature, the threshold at which you set your thermal imaging cameras to flag an individual, and how this threshold was arrived at.**

A number of factors, other than the potential of infection with Covid-19, affect skin temperature. Skin temperature fluctuates with the diurnal cycle and is affected by acclimatisation, stress, anxiety, alcohol and various other conditions. Skin temperature also fluctuates with the menstrual cycle and can be affected by menopause, raising the possibility of particular impact of unskilled thermal screening on women. A raised skin temperature can also result simply from physical exertion, or a fever caused by any number of infections unrelated to Covid-19.

Even accurate temperature screening has limited efficacy in screening for Covid-19. This is due to the incubation period and proportion of asymptomatic infections. A substantial proportion of Covid-19 infections are thought to be asymptomatic.¹³ The World Health Organisation (WHO) recently advised that “temperature screening alone may not be very effective as it may miss travellers incubating the disease or travellers concealing fever during travel, or it may yield false positive [sic]”.¹⁴ Similarly, epidemiologists from the Centre for the Mathematical Modelling of Infectious Diseases (CMMID) nCoV working group concluded, “Due to the duration of the incubation period of 2019-nCoV infection, we find that exit or entry screening at airports for initial symptoms, via thermal scanners or similar, is unlikely to prevent passage of infected travellers into new countries or regions.”¹⁵ The European Centre for Disease

9 Elevated Body Temperature Screening – MoviTHERM, YouTube, 16 April 2020: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kBrBlohq9gg>

10 Detecting coronavirus fevers with thermal cameras – IPVM, 15 March 2020: <https://ipvm.com/reports/thermal-wuhan>

11 Elevated Body Temperature Screening – MoviTHERM, YouTube, 16 April 2020: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kBrBlohq9gg>

12 Ng EY. Is thermal scanner losing its bite in mass screening of fever due to SARS?. *Med Phys.* 2005;32(1):93–97. doi:10.1118/1.1819532

13 Quilty Billy J, Clifford Sam, CMMID nCoV working group2, Flasche Stefan, Eggo Rosalind M. Effectiveness of airport screening at detecting travellers infected with novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV). *Euro Surveill.* 2020;25(5):pii=2000080. <https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.5.2000080>

14 Key considerations for repatriation and quarantine of travellers in relation to the outbreak of novel coronavirus 2019-nCoV – WHO, 11 February 2020: <https://www.who.int/news-room/articles-detail/key-considerations-for-repatriation-and-quarantine-of-travellers-in-relation-to-the-outbreak-of-novel-coronavirus-2019-ncov>

15 Quilty Billy J, Clifford Sam, CMMID nCoV working group2, Flasche Stefan, Eggo Rosalind M. Effectiveness of airport screening at detecting travellers infected with novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV). *Euro Surveill.* 2020;25(5):pii=2000080. <https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.5.2000080>

Prevention and Control (ECDC) has also cautioned against thermal screening in airports, warning that the measure is not supported by scientific evidence.¹⁶ On the contrary, the use of thermal cameras may give people a false sense of security which could lead to more risky behaviours and worsen public health. Indeed, in your website's FAQ on thermal screening you state, "[we] believe these initial measures will provide added passenger confidence".¹⁷ However, in a pandemic, misplaced confidence deriving from surveillance marketing rather than scientific evidence endangers public health.

In light of the evidence, it is questionable whether there is a legitimate purpose for your use of thermal surveillance cameras and whether it could be considered necessary or proportionate. As acknowledged in your press release, "Current expert advice suggests that temperature checks at UK airports are not required"¹⁸ - but Heathrow has opted to "trial" thermal surveillance nonetheless. An experimental trial, which usually requires fully informed participants who provide explicit consent and can opt out with all data deleted, is unlikely to satisfy the legal test of a legitimate, necessary and proportionate purpose for intrusive surveillance. **Can you detail your necessity and proportionality assessment, and explain the evidence basis for your use of thermal surveillance?**

Given the extraordinary circumstances, our country should be open to a diversity of measures that make even a small impact on protecting public health. However, measures that engage fundamental rights must be proportionate and moreover, measures must not be counter-productive, waste resources, diminish public trust, create a false sense of security or have an adverse impact on individuals. However, all of these risks apply to thermal imaging cameras.

Serious rights impact

There are serious consequential effects of thermal surveillance cameras on fundamental rights beyond privacy that significantly impact employment, freedom of movement and other rights. This is particularly the case if individuals do not have an opportunity to opt in or opt out of thermal screening without prejudice. The potential impact of your use of this technology on individuals' rights, freedoms and economic security, as well as the purported public health benefits, must be assessed and shown not to be harmful before its use is pursued.

It is important that you are clear as to whether thermal screening is mandatory or based on consent. To that end, I refer to the Surveillance Camera Commissioner's advice on 21st April 2020: "it might be proportionate to use [thermal imaging] technology in the unique times we are in (...) where individuals have given consent" but "mass use without individuals' knowledge seems disproportionate and would require much stronger justification."¹⁹ Your

16 Coronavirus screening at airports: the problem with thermal detection – Abi Millar, Airport Technology, 14 April 2020: <https://www.airport-technology.com/features/coronavirus-screening-at-airports/>

17 Coronavirus update, Temperature screening trials FAQ – Heathrow: <https://www.heathrow.com/customer-support/faq/coronavirus-covid-19> (accessed 23 May 2020)

18 Temperature screening trial launch – Heathrow Airport, 21 May 2020:

<https://www.heathrow.com/latest-news/temperature-screening-trial-launched-at-heathrow>

19 Surveillance and COVID-19: Lessons to be learnt – Tony Porter, Surveillance Camera Commissioner, 21 April 2020: <https://videosurveillance.blog.gov.uk/2020/04/21/surveillance-and-covid-19->

online FAQ on thermal screening states, “During the trial you can opt to take a different route that does not pass the cameras if you wish – please speak to our colleagues who will happily support you in this.”²⁰ **Is this information made readily available to passengers in the immigration hall, and if so, how?** In any event, this would suggest that consent is not fully informed, freely given or explicit, and that opting out incurs inconvenience.

If your use of thermal imaging is based on consent, please can you demonstrate that consent is fully informed (and explain how), explicit and freely given, and that there are no adverse consequences for those who decline? If thermal imaging is not based on consent, can you explain the legal basis upon which you are acting?

I sincerely hope you will reconsider your use of thermal surveillance to respect individuals’ rights and data protection, and to protect public health.

I look forward receiving the requested information by reply.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Silkie Carlo', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Silkie Carlo

Director of Big Brother Watch

[lessons-to-be-learnt/](#)

²⁰ Coronavirus update, Temperature screening trials FAQ – Heathrow: <https://www.heathrow.com/customer-support/faq/coronavirus-covid-19> (accessed 23 May 2020)